Applicants: K. G. Brown et al. Response to Action dated 10/04/05

IN THE CLAIMS

Please amend the claims as follows:

1. (Currently amended) A computer program product (hereinafter "container") recorded on computer readable medium for organizing and manipulating Web services on a network-(hereinafter "container"), comprising:

computer readable code executable instructions for determining and describing Web services that are available at a corresponding network node;

computer readable code executable instructions for transmitting generating messages to be transmitted to other containers messages via a network disclosing said Web services that are available at said corresponding network node;

computer readable-code executable instructions for receiving and deciphering messages disclosing Web services that are available at other network nodes corresponding to other containers; and

computer readable code executable instructions for causing the dynamic reconfiguration of dynamically reconfiguring said Web services available at said corresponding network node on said network based on said transmitted and received messages and said Web services available at said corresponding network node; wherein said computer program product container is in the form of a Web service.

2. (Currently amended) The computer program product of Claim 1 wherein said computer readable code executable instructions for causing the dynamic reconfiguration of dynamically reconfiguring Web services comprises:

computer readable code executable instructions for transmitting messages to said other containers requesting said other containers to return copies of Web services software: and

computer readable code executable instructions, responsive to receipt of messages from said other containers requesting copies of Web services software available at said corresponding network mode, for sending copies of said requested Web services software to said requesting containers.

-9- RSW920010188US1

Appln. No. 10/014,106
Applicants: K. G. Brown et al.
Response to Action dated 10/04/05

3. (Currently amended) The computer program product of Claim 2 wherein said computer readable codes executable instructions for transmitting and receiving said messages disclosing said Web services that are available generates messages that are hardware and software platform independent.

- 4. (Currently amended) The computer program product of Claim 3 wherein said computer readable codes executable instructions for transmitting and receiving said-messages disclosing said Web services that are available further comprises computer readable code executable instructions for transmitting and receiving said messages to and from a Web services registry and said computer readable code for receiving and deciphering messages further comprises computer readable code for receiving said messages from a Web service registry.
- 5. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 4 wherein said messages disclosing said Web services that are available at network nodes are in the Web Services Descriptor Language (WSDL).
- 6. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 5 wherein said registry is a Universal Description, Discovery, and Integration initiative (UDDI) registry.
- 7. (Currently amended) The computer program product of Claim 3 wherein said computer readable codes executable instructions for transmitting and receiving said messages disclosing said Web services that are available at network nodes comprise computer readable codes for sending and receiving said messages using executable instructions uses a peer to peer messaging protocol between said containers and said computer readable code for receiving and deciphering messages uses a peer to peer messaging protocol between containers.
- 8. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 7 wherein said messaging protocol is SOAP.

Applicants: K. G. Brown et al. Response to Action dated 10/04/05

- 9. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 8 wherein said disclosures of said Web services that are available at network nodes are contained in headers of Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP) messages.
- 10. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 7 wherein said messaging protocol is JXTA.
- 11. (Currently amended) The computer program product of claim 3 further comprising:

computer <u>readable code</u> <u>executable instructions</u> for receiving requests for Web services from client computers via said network.

12. (Currently amended) The computer program product of claim 11 wherein said computer readable code executable instructions for dynamically reconfiguring causing the dynamic reconfiguration of Web services comprises:

computer readable code executable instructions that, responsive to receipt of a one of said requests from a client for a Web service that is not available at said corresponding network node;

determines, based on said received messages disclosing said Web services that are available at <u>other</u> network nodes, what <u>whether another</u> network nodes have copies has a copy of said particular Web service; and

invokes a proxy to another of said containers having a copy of a particular Web service based on said determination.

13. (Currently amended) The computer program product of claim 12 wherein said proxy comprises:

computer readable-code executable instructions for routing said client requests for said particular a Web service that is not available at said corresponding network node and has been determined to be available at another network node to said other of said containers another container corresponding to said another network node;

Applicants: K. G. Brown et al. Response to Action dated 10/04/05

computer readable code executable instructions for receiving responses to said requests from said another network node; and

computer readable code executable instructions for returning said responses to said requesting clients.

14. (Currently amended) The computer program product of claim 13 further comprising:

computer readable code executable instructions for receiving said requests forwarded routed from other another of said containers and causing said requests to be handled by said a copy of said particular Web service at a network node corresponding to said computer program product container to generate said response; and

computer readable code executable instructions for transmitting said response to said another container that issued routed said request to said container.

15. (Currently amended) The computer program product of claim 11 further comprising:

computer readable code executable instructions for determining a load of client requests at said corresponding network node; and

wherein said computer readable code executable instructions for dynamically reconfiguring causing the dynamic reconfiguration of Web services performs said dynamic reconfiguration based on said load determination.

16. (Currently amended) The computer program product of claim 15 wherein said computer readable code executable instructions for causing the dynamic reconfiguration of dynamically reconfiguring Web services further comprises:

computer readable codeexecutable instructions that, responsive to determination of a load of client requests for a particular Web service that is not available at said corresponding network node exceeding a predetermined level, issues a request for a copy of the code of said particular Web service from another container that has a copy of said particular Web service;

Appln. No. 10/014,106 Applicants: K. G. Brown et al.

Response to Action dated 10/04/05

computer readable code executable instructions for receiving and locally invoking said code for said particular Web service from said other container; and

computer readable code executable instructions for routing client requests for said particular Web service to said local invocation of said code for said particular Web service.

17. (Currently amended) The computer program product of claim 16 wherein said computer readable code executable instructions for causing the dynamic reconfiguration of dynamically reconfiguring Web services further comprises:

computer readable code executable instructions for offloading said local code for said particular Web service received from said other container responsive to said load of client requests for said particular Web service dropping below a second predetermined level.

18. (Currently amended) The computer program product of claim 15 wherein said computer readable code executable instructions for causing the dynamic reconfiguration of dynamically reconfiguring Web services comprises:

computer readable code executable instructions that, responsive to determination of a load of client requests for a particular Web service available at said corresponding network node exceeding a predetermined level, issues a request for another container to accept a copy of the code of said particular Web service from said computer program product; and

computer readable code executable instructions for sending a copy of said code of said particular Web service to said other container responsive to affirmative responses to said request.

19. (Currently amended) The computer program product of claim 18 wherein said computer readable code executable instructions for causing the dynamic reconfiguration of dynamically reconfiguring Web services further comprises:

Applicants: K. G. Brown et al. Response to Action dated 10/04/05

computer readable code executable instructions for reconfiguring said computer program product to route client requests for said particular Web service to said other container.

- 20. (Original) The computer program product of claim 19 wherein said other container comprises a plurality of other containers.
- 21. (Currently amended) The computer program product of claim 20 wherein said computer readable code executable instructions for reconfiguring said computer program product to route client requests for said particular Web service to said other container distributes said client requests for said particular Web service between said other containers and said local invocation of said particular Web service.
- 22. (Currently amended) The computer program product of claim 11 wherein said client requests indicate whether said requesting client has a container and a platform on which said client is running and wherein said computer program product further comprises computer readable code executable instructions to read said client requests to determine whether said client has a container and said platform.
- 23. (Currently amended) The computer program product of claim 22 wherein said computer readable code executable instructions for causing the dynamic reconfiguration of dynamically reconfiguring Web services further comprising:

computer readable code executable instructions for sending a copy of the code of a particular Web service responsive to a client request for said Web service.

24. (Currently amended) The computer program product of claim 11 further comprising:

computer readable code executable instructions for monitoring usage of Web services by clients; and

computer readable code executable instructions for charging said clients for said usage.

Response to Action dated 10/04/05

- 25. (Currently amended) A method for organizing and manipulating Web services, comprising the steps of:
- (1) determining and describing Web services that are available at a corresponding network node;
- (2) transmitting messages via a network disclosing said Web services that are available at said corresponding network node to other network nodes via said network;
- (3) receiving and deciphering messages <u>from other network nodes</u> disclosing Web services that are available at other network nodes; and
- (4) dynamically reconfiguring Web services on said network node transmitted and received based on said messages and said Web services available at said corresponding network node;

wherein said computer program product method is in the form of a Web service.

- 26. (Currently amended) The method of Claim 25 wherein step (4) further comprises:
- (4.1) transmitting messages to said other network nodes requesting said other network nodes to return copies of Web services software; and
- (4.2) computer readable code executable instructions, responsive to receipt of messages from said other network nodes requesting copies of Web services software, for sending said requested Web services software to said requesting network node.
- 27. (Original) The method of Claim 26 wherein said messages of steps (2) and (3) are hardware and software platform independent.
- 28. (Original) The method of Claim 27 wherein steps (2) and (3) comprise sending and receiving said messages to and from a Web services registry.
- 29. (Original) The method of Claim 28 wherein said messages disclosing said Web services that are available at network nodes are in the Web Services Descriptor Language (WSDL).

Applicants: K. G. Brown et al. Response to Action dated 10/04/05

- 30. (Original) The method of Claim 29 wherein said registry is a Universal Description, Discovery, and Integration initiative (UDDI) registry.
- 31. (Original) The method of Claim 27 herein steps (2) and (3) comprise sending and receiving said messages using a peer to peer messaging protocol between said network nodes.
- 32 (Original) The method of Claim 31 wherein said messaging protocol is SOAP.
- 33 (Original) The method of Claim 32 herein said disclosures of said Web services that are available at network nodes are contained in headers of Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP) messages.
- 34 (Original) The method of Claim 31 herein said messaging protocol is JXTA.
 - 35. (Original) The method of claim 27 further comprising the step of:
 - (5) receiving requests for Web services from client computers via said network.
 - 36. (Original) The method of claim 35 wherein step (4) further comprises:
- (4.3) responsive to receipt of a request from a client for a Web service that is not available at said corresponding network node;

determining, based on said received messages disclosing said Web services that are available at network nodes, what network nodes have copies of said particular Web service; and

invoking a proxy to another of said network nodes having a copy of a particular Web service based on said determination.

Applicants: K. G. Brown et al. Response to Action dated 10/04/05

- 37. (Original) The method of claim 36 wherein said proxy performs the steps of:
- (4.3.1) routing client requests for said particular Web service to said other of said network nodes;
 - (4.3.2) receiving responses to said requests; and
 - (4.3.3) returning said responses to said requesting clients.
 - 38. (Original) The method of claim 37 further comprising:
- (6) receiving said requests forwarded from other of said network nodes and causing said requests to be handled by said copy of said particular Web service corresponding to said network node to generate said response; and
 - (7) transmitting said response to said network node that issued said request.
 - 39 (Original) The method of claim 35 further comprising:
- (8) determining a load of client requests at said corresponding network node; and

wherein, in step (4), said dynamic reconfiguration is performed based on said load determination.

- 40. (Original) The method of claim 39 herein step (4) further comprises:
- (4.4) responsive to determination of a load of client requests for a particular Web service that is not available at said corresponding network node exceeding a predetermined level, issuing a request for a copy of the code of said particular Web service from another network node that has a copy of said particular Web service;
- (4.5) receiving and locally invoking said code for said particular Web service from said other network node; and
- (4.6) routing client requests for said particular Web service to said local invocation of said code for said particular Web service.
 - 41. (Original) The method of claim 40 wherein step (4) further comprises: (4.7) offloading said local code for said particular Web service responsive to said

Applicants: K. G. Brown et al. Response to Action dated 10/04/05

load of client requests for said particular Web service dropping below a second predetermined level.

- 42. (Original) The method of claim 39 wherein step (4) comprises:
- (4.8) responsive to determination of a load of client requests for a particular Web service available at said corresponding network node exceeding a predetermined level, issuing a request for another network node to accept a copy of the code of said particular Web service from said network node; and
- (4.9) sending a copy of said code of said particular Web service to said other network node responsive to affirmative responses to said request.
 - 43. (Original) The method of claim 42 wherein step (4) further comprises:
- (4.10) reconfiguring said network node to route client requests for said particular Web service to said other network node.
- 44. (Original) The method of claim 43 wherein said other network node comprises a plurality of other network nodes.
- 45. (Original) The method of claim 44 wherein step (4.10) comprises distributing said client requests for said particular Web service between said other network nodes and said local invocation of said particular Web service.
- 46. (Original) The method of claim 35 wherein said client requests indicate a platform on which said client is running and wherein said method further comprises the step of:
 - (9) reading said client requests to determine said platform of said client.
 - 47. (Original) The method of claim 46 further comprising the step of:
- (10) sending a copy of the code of a particular Web service responsive to a client request for said Web service.

-18-

Appln. No. 10/014,106 Applicants: K. G. Brown et al. Response to Action dated 10/04/05

> (Original) The method of claim 35 further comprising the steps of: 48.

- (11) monitoring usage of Web services by clients; and
- (12) charging said clients for said usage.